

## APPENDIX 2 – TENDER EVALUATION GRID

Quality		Weighting	Tenderer 1		Tenderer 2		Tenderer 3	
			Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result
1	Project Methodology and Programme	14.0%	4	11.20%	2	5.60%	3	8.40%
2	Project Resource	10.0%	3	6.00%	2	4.00%	4	8.00%
3	Project Communication Plan	6.0%	4	4.80%	2	2.40%	4	4.80%
4	Demonstrating Logistical Solutions in the Live School Environment	10.0%	3	6.00%	2	4.00%	4	8.00%
Total out of 40%		40.0%	28.00%		16.00%		29.20%	
<b>Total out of 100%</b>		<b>100.0%</b>	<b>70.0%</b>		<b>40.0%</b>		<b>73.0%</b>	

Social Value		Weighting	Tenderer 1		Tenderer 2		Tenderer 3	
			Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result
1	Strong Foundations	2.0%	4	1.60%	2	0.80%	3	1.20%
2	A Future Built for Everyone	6.0%	4	4.80%	3	3.60%	4	4.80%
3	A Cleaner, More Considerable Brent	2.0%	3	1.20%	2	0.80%	4	1.60%

<b>Total out of 10%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>5.20%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
-------------------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-------------

<b>Commercial</b>		<b>Weighting</b>	<b>Tenderer 1</b>	<b>Tenderer 2</b>	<b>Tenderer 3</b>
8	Costs submission	50%	£728,223.00	Excluded	£948,456.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>50%</b>	<b>50.00%</b>		<b>38.39%</b>

<b>Summary</b>		<b>Weighting</b>	<b>Tenderer 1</b>	<b>Tenderer 2</b>	<b>Tenderer 3</b>
	Quality	40%	28.00%	Excluded	29.20%
	Social Value	10%	7.60%	Excluded	7.60%
	Commercial	50%	50.00%	Excluded	38.39%
<b>Total</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>85.60%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>75.19%</b>

<b>Ranking</b>	<b>1</b>	Excluded	<b>2</b>
----------------	----------	----------	----------

Note: Tenderer 2 was excluded from consideration as they scored 2 or less on two or more social value questions as per point (b) as stated in paragraph 3.9 of the authority to award report.